

A copy of letter No. 6467/PRC dated 02.07.2015 alongwith enclosure received from the Director Public Relations, Chandigarh Administration, is forwarded to the followings for information & compliance:

The Medical Superintendent, GMCH, Chandigarh. 1.

The System Analyst, IT Centre, GMCH with a request to e-circulate/email the same to all the HODs/Branch Incharges of GMCH Chandigarh

3. The Office Superintendent (Estt. I, II, III, HA-I, HA-II), GMCH, Chandigarh.

ulla

Superintendent (Est.-IV) GMCH, Chandigarh.

16) JIN PR GMCH/32, chil

16205 (

Ors.

From

The Director Public Relations, Union Territory, Chandigarh.

To

All Heads of the Departments/Boards/ Corporations/Institutions, Chandigarh Administration.

Memo No.6467 Memo No.らりて /PRC Dated, Chandigarh the ______ういち

Subject: -

OS, E Foundation for Restoration of National Values Vs UOI & W.P (C) No. 302. Of 2012.

Reference on the subject noted above.

Enclosed please find a copy of the Judgement dated: 19th May, 2015 passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, New Delhi in W.P (C) No. 302. Of 2012 titled as Foundation for Restoration of National Values Vs UOI & Ors Where in guideline have been enacted to prevent misuse of public funds on advertisement for compliance.

DA/As above

Section Officer, 2/2120/5 For Director Public Relations Chandigarh Administration

The Pue pertains to a court Care and directions parrie thanks by Hon'ble Supreme Cand of Sudis Honce, al is repuired to be examined by the legal cell of Gman. and order of Autonitics there to are to be obtained. Auchanno 20.07.15

6.0. Kegel Cell

Reference above, the content of above noted bit is self-exploreting which reflects that in above caphoned care, Guidelines have been enacted to prevent misture of Ristic Fundy on schenhisement for complement. Berne the According's, necessary action may be taken. to ghuilled 22/7 OS (ETY)

NO. GNICHILONCILA- 526

WITH WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 302 OF 2012 WITH INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 2 (Application for Exemption from filing O.T.) AND INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 3 (Application for Deletion)

-7-

Common Cause (Regd) Society through Sh. H.D. Shourie, etc. etc.

... Petitioners

Versus

Union of India & Ors., etc. etc.

...Respondents

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for your information, compliance and necessary action a certified copy of the Record of Proceedings and signed Judgment of this Hon'ble Court dated 13.05.2015 passed in the matters above -mentioned.

Please acknowledge receipt.

Yours faithfully,

1912715

Assistant Registrar

Encl. As above

/lun

\$15 R-344

COURT NO.8

TTEM NO.1B

SECTION PIL

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA 201045 RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 13/2003

COMMON CAUSE

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA WITH W.P.(C) NO. 197/2004 /

W.P.(C) NO. 302/2012

RESPONDENT (S)

Carified to be into copy (Self-GUSTA Analstent Registrar (Judi.) Subvarias Court of Inde

Date : 13/05/2015 These petitions were called on for pronouncement of judgment today.

For Parties (s)

Ms. Meera Bhatia, Adv. Mr. Rishi Kesh, AOR.

Mr. Govindjee, Adv.Mr. Shyam Singh Chauhan, Adv.Mr. Syed Musaib, Adv.Mr. Prashant Bhushan, AOR. [N/P]

State of Gujarat

State of H.P.

Mr. Suryanarayan Singh, AAG

Mr. B. V. Balaram Das, AOR

Ms. Pragati Neekhra, AOR

Ms. Hemantika Wahi, AOR.

Ms. Puja Singh, Adv. Ms. Giss Antony, Adv.

Mr. Mohan Prasad Gupta, Adv. Mr. M. Khairati, Adv. Ms. Niranjana Singh, Adv. Mr. D. S. Mahra, Adv.

Ms. Bina Madhavan, AOR

Mr. Mishra Saurabh, AOR

Mr. Nishe Rajen Shonker, AOR

1

	Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, AOR
	Mr. Jayant Fater, Mur.
Ρ.	Mr. Anil Shrivastav, AOR Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.
	Mr. Sapam Biswajit Meitei, Adv. Mr. Z.H. Isaac Haiding, Adv. Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, AOR
	Mr. Avijit Bhattacharjee, AOR
	Mrs. K. Enatoli Sema, Adv. Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv. Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, AOR
	Mr. C.D. Singh, AOR
	Mr. G.N. Reddy, AOR
	Ms. Shubhra Rai, Adv. Ms. Pallavi Garg, Adv. Ms. B. Khushbansi, Adv. Mr. Gopal Singh, AOR.
	Ms. B. Khushbansi, Adv. Ms. Shubhra Rai, Adv. Ms. Pallavi Garg, Adv. Mr. Gopal Singh, AOR.
na	Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Adv. Mr. Krishna Kumar Singh, Adv.
	Mr. Kuldip Singh, AOR
	Mr. P.V. Yogeswaran, AOR
	Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv. Mr. Yusuf Khan, Adv. For M/s Arputham Aruna & Co., AOR
	Mr. Riku Sarma, Adv. Mr. Navnit Kumar, Adv. For M/s Corporate Law Group, AOR

tate of Arunachal

State of Manipur

Provide State State State

State of Nagaland

State of Bihar

State of Tripura

Staqte of Telangar

State of Sikkim

State of Assam

Mr. K.V. Mohan, AOR te of West Bengal Mr. Anip Sachthey, AOR Mr. Mohit Paul, Adv. ate of Uttarakhand Mr. Vinay Garg, AOR Mr. Uday Singh, Adv. Mr. Tanmaya Aggarwal, Adv. Mr. Upendra Mishra, Adv. State of Puducherry Mr. V.G. Pragasam, AOR Mr. S.J. Aristotle, Adv. Mr. Prabu Ramasubramanian, Adv. State of Kerala Mr. Ramesh Babu M.R., AOR State of Jharkhad Mr. Gopal Prasad, AOR Mr. Jayesh Gaurav, Adv. State of Rajasthan Mr. S.S. Shamshery, AAG Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv. Mr. Sandeep Singh, Adv. Ms. Ruchi Kohli, AOR State of U.P. Mr. Garvesh Kabra, Adv. Mr. Samir Ali Khan, AOR State of Goa Mr. Siddharth Bhatnagar, Adv. Mr. Sidharth Mohan, Adv. Mr. Nirnimesh Dube, AOR A & N Admn. Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran, Adv. Ms. G. Indira, AOR State of Orissa Mr. Ashok Panigrahi, AOR. Ms. Ashmi Mohan, Adv. Chandigarh Mr. Chandra Prakash, AOR

> Mr. Ravi P. Mehrotra, AOR Mr. Abhinav Malik, Adv. Mr. Vibhu Tiwari, Adv.

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ranjan Gogoi pronounced the judgment of the Bench comprising His Lordship and Hon'ble

. 00

Mr. Justice Pinaki Chandra Ghose.

We close the matters on the aforesaid note by approving and adopting the recommendations of the Committee except what has been specifically indicated above with regard to

- publication of photographs of the Government functionaries and political leaders alongwith the advertisement(s).
- (2) appointment of an Ombudsman
- (3) the recommendation with regard to performance audit by each Ministry.
- (4) embargo on advertisements on the eve of the elections.

We also make it clear that the present directions issued under Article 142 of the Constitution cannot be comprehensive and there are several aspects of the matter which may have escaped our attention at this stage. In this regard, we would like to clarify that it is not the intention of the Court to attempt to lay down infallible and all comprehensive directions to cover the issue at hand. The gaps, if any, we are confident would be filled up by the executive arm of the government itself inasmuch as the attainment of constitutional goals and values enshrined in Part IV of the Constitution is the conjoint responsibility of the three organs of the State i.e. legislative, executive and the judiciary, as earlier discussed.

[VINOD LAKHINA] COURT MASTER

10

G

С Ь

С

1 1

0,

à

[ASHA SONI] COURT MASTER

[[SIGNED REPORTABLE JUDGMENT IS PLACED ON THE FILE]